1 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

TELLER, an individual,	
Plaintiff,	Case No. 2:12-cv-00591-JCM-GWF
vs.	<u>ORDER</u>
GERARD DOGGE (p/k/a GERARD BAKARDY), an individual,	Motion to Enforce Court's Order (#116); Motion to Compel (#117)
Defendant.)))

This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's Emergency Motion to Enforce the Court's Order and to Compel (#116), filed on July 2, 2013. Defendant filed an Opposition (#129) on July 15, 2013. Plaintiff filed a Reply (#130) on July 18, 2013. This matter also comes before the Court on Defendant's Motion to Compel (#117), filed on July 5, 2013. Plaintiff filed a Response (#127) on July 12, 2013. The Court conducted a hearing on both Motions on July 26, 2013. *See Minutes of Proceedings, Doc. #134*.

At issue in this case is a video Defendant posted to YouTube ("Subject Video") of the performance of an illusion entitled "The Rose and her Shadow" ("Subject Illusion"), which Defendant also offered for sale. Plaintiff alleges the Subject Illusion infringes on Plaintiff's copyright. In his Motion (#116), Plaintiff seeks an order requiring YouTube to produce the Subject Video. The Court declines to enter such an order because Plaintiff has not properly issued a subpoena to YouTube, among the other reasons stated on the record at the July 26 hearing. Plaintiff further seeks the entry of a proposed Protective Order in this case. The Court has previously reviewed the Protective Order and ordered the parties to execute it for the Court's approval. See June 14, 2013 Minute Order, Doc. #108. Plaintiff now represents that Defendant

has refused to execute the Protective Order, which, for the reasons stated on the record, the Court shall enter. Plaintiff also seeks production of certain materials he represents Defendant referenced at his June 11, 2013 deposition. Namely, Plaintiff asserts that in addition to the Subject Video that Defendant claims he has erased from his hard drive, Defendant has created a manual and DVD relating to the sale and performance of the Subject Illusion. Plaintiff claims Defendant has refused to produce these materials. The Court, therefore, shall again order Defendant to produce the Subject Video, and to produce the manual and DVD referenced in his deposition.

In his Motion (#117), Defendant seeks production of the transcripts and video of his June 11, 2013 deposition. At the July 26 hearing, however, Defendant represented that he has received the transcripts, and Plaintiff represented that he recently mailed to Defendant the video of the deposition. Therefore, the Court finds that Defendant's Motion (#117) is moot. Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion to Enforce the Court's Order and to Compel (#116) is **granted** in part and **denied** in part as follows:

- (a) Plaintiff shall file the proposed Protective Order (attached to Letter, Doc. #107) for the Court's approval within 7 days of the date of this Order;
- (b) Defendant shall produce the Subject Video and the manual and DVD relating to the sale and performance of the Subject Illusion referenced in his June 11, 2013 deposition on or before **August 9, 2013**;
- In the event Defendant does not or cannot timely produce all three materials above,
 he shall produce his computer hard drive to Plaintiff for mirror imaging on or before
 August 9, 2013;
- (d) In the event such mirror imaging is necessary, the Court, on a motion by Plaintiff, shall set forth the manner in which the mirror image shall be searched. In the motion, Plaintiff shall identify the person or entity that will conduct the search, his or her qualifications, and the safeguards that will ensure the search will only be conducted to uncover the Subject Video, manual, and DVD relating to the Subject Illusion; and

Case 2:12-cv-00591-JCM-GWF Document 135 Filed 07/26/13 Page 3 of 3

(e) The Court further cautions Defendant that should he fail to comply with this Order, the Court will impose sanctions that may include a recommendation to the District Judge that his Answer be stricken and a default judgment be entered against him.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant's Motion to Compel (#117) is **denied** as moot.

DATED this 26th day of July, 2013.

GEORGE FOLEY, JR./ United States Magistrate Judge